

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,729	03/04/2004	Akihiko Yamashita	21581-00316-US	5309	
30678	7590 08/16/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			SZEKELY, PETER A		
SUITE 800					
1990 M STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3425			1714		
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/791,729	YAMASHITA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Peter Szekely	1714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status		•			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 M</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/4/04,9/13/04.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 10/791,729 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. A cement admixture has to contain cement. Otherwise it is an admixture for cement (claims 1-18). The word "type" renders claims 5-12 indefinite. When "executing" a cement composition the means of execution should be specified. For example: gallows, firearms, electric chair, lethal injection etc. Mixing or blending maybe (claims 15-18)? Claims 17-18 contain improper Markush language. When the last conjunction is "and", the proper Markush language is "selected from the group consisting of".

## **Double Patenting**

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In *re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/791,729 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-18 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,911,494.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the equivalence of maleic acid and monocarboxylic acids is shown in column 9, lines 33-49 and the additives can be found from column 22, line 3, through column 4, line 11 (sulfonic acid type dispersants), column 25, lines 14-33 (retarders) and column 25, lines 34-41 (accelerators).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 7. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b or e) as being anticipated by Yamashita et al. 6,911,494,Nippon Shokubai JP-2003-12358, Nippon Shokubai JP-2002-348160 or Nippon Oil & Fats JP-7-215746.
- 8. Yamashita et al. disclose a blend of a copolymer of an unsaturated polyalkylene glycol ether monomer and an unsaturated carboxylic acid monomer, an unsaturated polyalkylene glycol ether monomer and an unpolymerizable polyalkylene glycol in claim

Application/Control Number: 10/791,729 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

1. The unsaturated carboxylic acid can be maleic acid, maleic anhydride and salts thereof (column 9, lines 33-49, Calculation Examples 2 and 3). Sulfonic acid type dispersants are shown from column 22, line 3, through column 23, line 11, while retarders and accelerators are listed in column 25, lines 14-41. Mixing at 20°C is described in the paragraph overlapping columns 42-43. As far as the three Japanese references are concerned, the examiner who does not read or speak Japanese accepts the conclusions of the International Search Report without any reservations. Applicants' claims are not novel.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 10. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamashita et al. 6,911,494,Nippon Shokubai JP-2003-12358, Nippon Shokubai JP-2002-348160 or Nippon Oil & Fats JP-7-215746.
- 11. All references have been discussed already. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art; at the time the invention was made, to select applicants'

Application/Control Number: 10/791,729

Art Unit: 1714

ingredients from a list of equivalents.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 5

supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714

P.S. 8/14/06